UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/872,263	05/31/2001	Kenneth Lerman	SYCS-035	4732

959	7590	03/18/2004

LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP.
28 STATE STREET
BOSTON, MA 02109

EXAMINER
MCCARTHY, CHRISTOPHER S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2113	

DATE MAILED: 03/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE _3_ MONTH(S) FROM
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.

2a)☐ This action is **FINAL**.   2b)☒ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) _1-23_ is/are pending in the application.

    4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☒ Claim(s) _7-20 and 23_ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) _1-6, 21 and 22_ is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on _5/31/2001_ is/are: a)☒ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

    a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

      1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

      2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

      3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

    * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1)☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2)☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3)☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4)☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .

5)☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6)☐ Other: _____ .

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1.   The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

> A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --
>
> (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2.   Claims 1-7, 21,22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gammukhi

et al U.S. Patent 5,953,314.

As per claim 1, Gammukhi teaches that in a computer system, said system including a

plurality of system control processors, each said system control processor with a plurality of

activation states, said system control processors controlling a plurality of other processors (OPs)

in said system, each said OP with a plurality of activation states (column 1, lines 53-59; figure

1), a method, comprising the steps of: providing a reset function for said system control

processors enabling said system control processors to change the activation state of other system

control processors and OPs (column 5, lines 39-40; column 2, lines 52-55); setting the activation

state of a system control processor to active, said active state indicating a functioning processor

fully integrated into system operations (column 3, lines 37-42); setting the activation state of a

system control processor to standby, said standby activation state indicating a functioning

processor partially integrated into said system operations (column 2, lines 52-55; column 3, lines

37-42); detecting an error in one of said OPs with said system control processor set to an active

activation state; detecting said error in one of said OPs with said system control processor set to

a standby activation state; and altering the activation state of said OP using said system control

processor set to an active activation state and said system control processor set to a standby

activation state (column 2, lines 52-65).

As per claim 2, Gammukhi teaches the method of claim 1, comprising the further step of:

changing the activation state in the OP in which an error was detected from an active state to a

reset state, said reset state indicating a non-functioning processor not integrated into said system

operations (column 2, lines 52-55; column 5, lines 39-40).

As per claim 3, Gammuhki teaches the method of claim 2, comprising the further step of:

changing the activation state of an OP from a standby activation state to an active activation state

to take the place in said system of said OP placed into a reset activation state (column 2, lines 12-

21).

As per claim 4, Gammuhki teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said OPs are located

on Input/Output (IO) cards interfaced with said system, said IO cards including software for

reporting IO card status and OP status to said system control processor set to an active activation

state and said system control processor set to a standby activation state (column 2, lines 52-55).

As per claim 5, Gammuhki teaches the method of claim 4, wherein said error is detected

by said software and reported to said system control processor set to an active activation state

and said system control processor set to a standby activation state (column 5, lines 52-55).

As per claim 6, Gammuhki teaches the method of claim 5, wherein said error is detected

by polling said OPs to check status (column 2, lines 52-65).

As per claim 21, Gammukhi teaches an electronic device comprising: a system control

processor set to an active activation state, said active activation state indicating a functioning

processor fully integrated into the operations of said device (column 3, lines 37-42)); a system

control processor set to a standby activation state, said standby activation state indicating a

functioning processor partially integrated into the operations of said device (column 2, lines 52-

55); a plurality of other processors (OPs) with a plurality of activation states, said OPs controlled

by said system control processors, wherein an error in one of said OPs is detected by said system

control processor set to an active activation state, said error is verified by said system control

processor set to a standby activation state (column 2, lines 52-65), and said system control

processors change the activation state of the OP with an error to a reset activation state, said reset

activation state indicating a non-functioning processor not integrated into the operations of the

device (column 2, lines 52-65).

As per claim 22, Gammukhi teaches that in a computer system, said system including a

plurality of system control processors, each said system control processor with a plurality of

activation states, said system control processors controlling a plurality of other processors (OPs)

in said system, each said OP with a plurality of activation states (column 1, lines 53-59; figure

1), a method, comprising the steps of: providing a reset function for said system control

processors enabling said system control processors to change the activation state of other system

control processors and OPs (column 5, lines 39-40; column 2, lines 52-55); setting the activation

state of a system control processor to active, said active state indicating a functioning processor

fully integrated into system operations (column 3, lines 37-42); setting the activation state of a

system control processor to standby, said standby activation state indicating a functioning

processor partially integrated into said system operations (column 2, lines 52-55; column 3, lines

37-42); detecting an error in one of said OPs with said system control processor set to an active

activation state; detecting said error in one of said OPs with said system control processor set to

a standby activation state; and altering the activation state of said OP using at least one of said

system control processor set to an active activation state and said system control processor set to

a standby activation state (column 2, lines 52-65).

Allowable Subject Matter

3.   Claims 7-20, 23 are allowed.

Reasons for Allowance

4.   The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: When read as a

whole, claims 7, 10, 17, and 23 are allowable with respect to the following limitations:

As per claims 7 and 17, the primary reason for allowance is the limitation of failing to

detect said error in one of said OPs with said system control processor set to a standby activation

state.

As per claim 10, the primary reason for allowance is the limitation of altering the

activation state of said system control processor set to an active activation state using said system

control processor set to a standby activation state and said system component with a reset

function.

As per claim 23, the primary reason for allowance is the limitation of attempting and

failing to verify said error in said system control processor set to an active activation state with

said system component with a reset function.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for

Allowance."

Conclusion

5.   The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Please refer to attached PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Christopher S. McCarthy whose telephone number is (703)305-

7599. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (703)305-9713. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

csm
March 12, 2004

ROBERT BEAUSOLIEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100